



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/990,026	12/12/97	OZAKI	T 381NT43975

┌  
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P.  
P.O. BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON DC 20044-4300

WM21/0726 ┐

EXAMINER

BROWN, R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

19

DATE MAILED: 07/26/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/990,026

Applicant(s)  
Ozaki, et al

Examiner  
Reuben Brown

Group Art Unit  
2611



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vincent J. Sunderdick

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Reuben M. Brown

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 24, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 58

Identification of prior art discussed:

Batchelor & Hidary

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the claimed feature of a third link from a broadcaster which controls a package device based on particular information, to subsequently retrieve related information from a network connection. Action to follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ANDREW FAILE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.